

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,989	10/02/2003	Gi Youl Kim	PA2625US	1554	
26263	7590 06/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP			ZERVIGON, RUDY		
P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080		1763			
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				- ا
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>-</del>
Office Action Summary		10/678,989	KIM ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Rudy Zervigon	1763	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addre	·ss
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT	TH(S) OR THIRTY (30) [	DAYS.
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS 1, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this comm DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	lay 200 <u>6</u> .		
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the mo	erits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,5 and 7-9 is/are pending in the appl	ication.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1.5 and 7-9 is/are rejected.			
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected to by th	ne Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
. —	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		=	• •
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •	<del></del>	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	•	eived in this National Sta	ıge
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* **	eived	
		or the continue copies not rece		
Attachmen	t(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ		
-	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	il Date al Patent Application (PTO-15	(2)
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	., , , -	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 24, 2006 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van Os; Ron et al. (US 5,792,272 A) in view of Kholodenko; Arnold et al. (US 6185839 B1). van Os teaches a deposition system (column 2; lines 10-15) comprising: a first gas (column 4, lines 18-31) fluidly coupled to a chemical vapor deposition chamber (volume 16+18; Figure 1; column 3, lines 30-56) through a first gas distribution channel (56; Figure 4) disposed within a lid (10+17; Figure 2) of the chemical vapor deposition chamber (volume 16+18; Figure 1; column 3, lines 30-56), the lid (10+17; Figure 2) further supporting a shower head (15; Figure 2,3A) disposed within the chemical vapor deposition chamber (volume 16+18; Figure 1; column 3, lines 30-56) and separate from the first gas distribution channel (56; Figure 4), said lid (10+17; Figure 2) having an interior rim (70; Figure 4) including a plurality of cleaning gas injection ports (44b;

Application/Control Number: 10/678,989 Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

Figure 4) each of which is fluidly connected to the first gas distribution channel (56; Figure 4) -

claim 1

van Os does not teach various ones of the cleaning gas injection ports (44b; Figure 4) which are

orientated at different angles with respect to an interior of a wall (inside surface of 70; Figure 4)

of the chamical vapor deposition chamber, said wall (inside surface of 70; Figure 4) being

attached to said lid (10+17; Figure 2)

van Os further does not teach:

i. The deposition system (column 2; lines 10-15) of claim 1, wherein the plurality of first

gas injection ports (44a,b; Figure 4; column 7, lines 18-31) include a first subset of the

plurality of first gas injection ports (44a,b; Figure 4; column 7, lines 18-31) disposed at a

first angle (column 7, lines 48-56) relative to interior of the wall (inside surface of 70;

Figure 4) of the deposition chamber (volume 16+18; Figure 1; column 3, lines 30-56),

and a second subset of the plurality of first gas injection ports (44a,b; Figure 4; column 7,

lines 18-31) disposed at a second angle (column 7, lines 48-56) relative to the interior of

the walls (inside surface of 70; Figure 4) - claim 5

ii. The deposition system (column 2; lines 10-15) of claim 1, further including internal

plumbing (46,48; Figure 4; column 7, lines 18-31) coupling the first gas (column 4, lines

18-31) distribution channel (56; Figure 4; column 7, lines 18-31) to the first gas source,

within the wall (inside surface of 70; Figure 4) of the deposition chamber (volume 16+18;

Figure 1; column 3, lines 30-56) - claim 7

iii. The deposition system (column 2; lines 10-15) of claim 1, further including a plurality of

channel openings (baffle plate 62; Figure 4; column 7, lines 18-31) coupling the internal

Art Unit: 1763

plumbing to the first gas (column 4, lines 18-31) distribution channel (56; Figure 4; column 7, lines 18-31) - claim 8

iv. The deposition system (column 2; lines 10-15) of claim 1, further including a chamber collar (40; Figure 4) separating the lid (10+17; Figure 2) of the deposition chamber (volume 16+18; Figure 1; column 3, lines 30-56) from wall and including internal plumbing (46,48; Figure 4; column 7, lines 18-31) coupling the cleaning gas (column 4, lines 18-31) distribution channel (56; Figure 4; column 7, lines 18-31) to the first gas source—claim 9

van Os does not teach a first gas "source".

Kholodenko teaches a deposition system (Figure 1) including a "first gas source" (95, Figure 1). Kholodenko further teaches a similar interior rim (148; Figure 1) including cleaning gas injection ports (140a, 140c; Figure 1) which are orientated at different angles with respect to an interior of a wall (inside surface of 148; Figure 1) of the chamber (25; Figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Kholodenko's first gas source and optimize the angle(s) of van Os's gas injection ports (44b; Figure 4).

Motivation to add Kholodenko's first gas source and optimize the angle(s) of van Os's gas injection ports (44b; Figure 4) is for delivering a desired process gas and for providing a "uniform flux of process gas entering the chamber 2" as taught by Kholodenko (column 5; lines 25-39). Further, it is well established that changes in apparatus dimensions are within the level of ordinary skill in the art.(Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105

Art Unit: 1763

USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); See MPEP 2144.04)

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, and 7-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Rudy Zervigon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1442. The examiner can normally be reached on a Monday through Thursday schedule from 8am through 7pm. The official fax phone number for the 1763 art unit is (571) 273-8300. Any Inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Chemical and Materials Engineering art unit receptionist at (571) 272-1700. If the examiner can not be reached please contact the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh, at (571) 272-1435.